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“You must unlearn what you have learned.”

Peer groups are NOT reliable.
Examples of CFA Institute (AIMR)
Documentation of Peer Group Deficiencies


• “They are not available real time, resulting in a time lag for comparison
• There is no established oversight process for determining universe participants and whether the universe accurately represents the entire asset class or style of management
• Survivor bias will develop over time as some managers are deleted from the universe
• They are not replicable or investible
• They do not permit the manager to move to a known neutral position”
The Problems with Peer Groups

Peer Group Biases
- Survivor
- Classification ?
- Composition ?

Survivor bias raises the bar.
Classification and Composition biases can go either way.
Classification biases arise from differing style definitions.
Composition biases are the result of differing database memberships.
Survivor & Back-fill Bias Example
(Yellow #s are amount of overstatement per Year)

Periods Ending June, 2002

Rob Fletcher, Madison Investments,
presentation to IMCA in September 2002
Peer Groups Don’t Work
Classification & Composition Biases

It makes no sense to have a good rank against one peer group and a poor rank against a comparable peer group of another provider, which frequently happens.

A manager should either succeed or fail, not both.
“Database Dysfunction”
By
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The same fund ranks top quartile in the Callan universe but below median in Wilshire.

What is the CORRECT RANK?

Callan LCV

Wilshire LCV

3 years ending 6/04
Peer Groups Don’t Work

It doesn’t make sense to rank well while underperforming the benchmark, as frequently occurs with traditional peer groups.

A manager should get bad marks for failure.
Peer groups are inherently flawed. You can no more pick a “good” peer group than you can pick a puppy that won’t pee on the floor.

You can NOT make peer group biases go away, try as you may.
Importance

Performance does not win or lose accounts. Success or failure relative to peers is what matters.

Faulty peer groups lead to faulty decisions, hiring unsuccessful managers, and firing successful ones.
The Problems with Benchmarks

• **Defining**: Benchmarks can be customized as blends of indexes (style analysis) or as blends of stocks (normal portfolios). Both choices are complex. Choosing an index as a benchmark is a mistake that invariably confuses style with skill.

• **Waiting**: It takes decades to accomplish statistical significance with benchmarks. Accordingly, inferences are drawn on faith rather than confidence.
A Breakthrough

Combining best practices (indexes and peer groups) to create superior insights
Portfolio Opportunity Distributions (POD’s)

Benchmark

Portfolio Construction RULES

Monte Carlo Simulations

Portfolio Opportunities
PODs Combine Benchmarks
With Peer Groups to

- Reduce biases
- Shorten the wait for statistical significance
How bad was missing the benchmark by 3% in the 4th Quarter of 2004?

Statistically significant versus the S&P500

A non-event versus the Russell 2000 Growth

9.2%

6.2% ranks 90th %ile

15.1%

12.1% ranks 77th
A Reasonableness Test
Rankings versus S&P500

S&P 500 PODs, Periods Ending 12/04 for Good Performer (Number of Names=30)

Suspicious or Extraordinary?
Same Fund Ranked Against Small Value
The Other Waiting

Portfolio Opportunity Distributions are available monthly a couple of days after month’s end, many weeks ahead of traditional peer groups.
Hedge Funds Are **Unique**

- ✓ Style
- ✓ Capitalization
- ✓ Direction
- ✓ Leverage

**Peer Groups & Indexes**

**Don’t Work**
Average Correlations Among Individual Hedge Funds in the Same Peer Group 1994-2001. These funds are not homogeneous.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Correlation (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Merger Arbitrage</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity Market Neutral</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed</td>
<td>.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convertible Arbitrage</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Macro</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long/Short Equity</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Portfolio Opportunity Distributions (POD’s) for Long-Short Hedge Funds

Benchmarks

Portfolio Construction
RULES

Leverage
Direction

Portfolio Opportunities
Maintaining the same net long position does not preserve risk, but it requires PODs to measure the increase in Implementation Risk.
Let’s discuss common reservations
There are Good Answers to Common Concerns

- Prefer real horse race
- Unrealistic portfolios
- Similar investors, like unions or foundations

Ask PPCA
“Everyone Uses Peer Groups”

Humphrey Bogart in “The Maltese Falcon”
Behavioral Science Explains Reluctance to Accept

• **Attachment bias:** Holding onto an approach for emotional reasons, such as “we’ve always done it this way”

• **Cognitive dissonance:** The challenge of reconciling two opposing beliefs

• **Confirmation bias:** The natural tendency to accept any information that confirms our preconceived position and to disregard any information that doesn’t support this position

• **Overconfidence:** Works with confirmation bias to place too much emphasis on one’s own abilities.

• **Status quo bias:** The tendency to do nothing even when action is in order.
Proof of the Pudding

- PODs are virtually identical to large unbiased total equity universes for periods of up to about two years, and then survivor biases set in.

- Style-specific universes are harder to compare, but PODs are the only way to tie rankings back to benchmarks – the benchmark is the middle. See Sharpe’s “The Arithmetic of Active Management”
“It escapes me why so many wait for biased and inaccurate, or at least misleading, universe data when they can get unbiased data almost immediately following any calendar quarter or month.”

- David Loeper, President
FinanceWare
Who Cares?

- Investment managers.
- Everyone who employs investment managers.
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